



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/411,407	09/30/1999	THOMAS L. STACHURA	042390.P7090	8269
7:	590 09/16/2003			
ALOYSIUS T C AUYEUNG C/O BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			MIRZA, ADNAN M	
7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELE	S CA 90025		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
200.1110222	~, ~. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2141	14
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	16

. Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11/4
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/411,407	STACHURA ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adnan M Mirza	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08/18/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AFT Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a virinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic al (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropriate the control of the control	on. See MPEP opriate extension
ree under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	originally set in the final	Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· / /—		and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-16.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	Mysel	he	
	SUPERVISORY	L DHARIA PATENT EXCLUSION	R





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that prior art did not disclose determining the current operating state of the client devices and determining whether execution of the received control operations are permitted while the client devices is in the determined operating state. As to applicants argument Nouri disclosed the administrator can use microcontrooler network "fly by wire" capability to reset the system, as well to power the system power off or on (col. 6, lines 45-52). One ordinary skill in the art at the tiem of the invention can interpret the administrator using the microcontroller network "fly by wire" capabilityas determing the operating state and managing it.